

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/880,243

Applicant(s)

MONI ET AL.

Examiner

Gims S Philippe

Art Unit

2613

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Gims S Philippe.

(3) _____.

(2) Jens Jenkins.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 September 2004.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: Of record.

Identification of prior art discussed: Hannamura et al., Chen et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.


Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Allowable subject matter will be incorporated into the independent claims. Claims 26-34 will be cancelled and pursued in a continuation application so that only claims having allowable subject matter remain pending.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

GIMS PHILIPPE
PRIMARY EXAMINER


Examiner's signature, if required